STAFF USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: <u>98-047</u>

CASES:

TR 51852

SPA, DA, CP



* * * * INITIAL STUDY * * * *

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

GENERAL INFORMATION

I.A. Map Date: <i>November 12, 2003</i>	_Staff Member: <u>Hsiao-ching Chen</u>
Thomas Guide: <u>4279, 4369</u>	USGS Quad: Warm Springs Mtn, Whitaker Peak
Location: North of Castaic, east of I-5, west of C	Castaic Lake, Castaic, California

Description of Project: A development proposal to develop and implement 669.2 acres out of the 1,330-acre Northlake Specific Plan (SP) area for a mix of uses. A tract application requests 1,260 single family lots, 480 multi-family units on 12 lots, 5 industrial/commercial lots, 15 park/recreational use lots, and 50 open space lots. SP Amendment and Development Agreement (DA) Amendment are required to modify provisions of the adopted Specific Plan and existing DA. A Conditional Use Permit is required for Site Plan Review for development within the SP boundary. Impacts associated with mass grading occurring outside of the SP boundary are analyzed in this document but is covered under a separate CUP. Access to this tract will be provided by Lake Hughes Road, Castaic Road, and Ridge Route Road. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the SP was certified with the approval of the SP in 1992. Gross Area: 669.2 acres Environmental Setting: The project site is located within the Los Angeles County Northlake Specific Plan area north of Castaic, east of I-5 Freeway and west of Castaic Lake. The vegetation within the SP area is characterized as coastal sage scrub, valley grassland, and riparian woodland. No sensitive or endangered species have been recorded within the SP area. Site topography consists of a ridgeline running northwest to southeast along the western boundary of the site adjacent to I-5. The eastern slopes of this ridgeline are moderate in elevation with Grasshopper Canyon which traverses the center portion of the site. Angeles National Forest is located to the north, State recreation area to the east, residential units to the south, and Interstate Highway 5 to the west.

Zoning: <u>SF, SF/Golf, MF, MF/Golf, Light Industrial, Community Commercial, School/Park, Open Space, Golf Facilities (Specific Plan)</u>

1

General Plan: (Specific Plan)

Community/Area Wide Plan: (Northlake Specific Plan)

7/99

Major projects in area:

Project Number	Description & Status
86-201/TR44429	191 SF and 300 MF units (approved)
98-110	UNMANNED REGENERATION FACILITY (approved)
98-072	TRUCK STORAGE IN M1-DP (approved)
02-116	150 SENIOR APARTMENTS IN C-3-DP ZONE (pending)
<u>N/A</u>	Castaic CSD (pending Board approval)

NOTE: For EIRs, above projects are not sufficient for cumulative analysis.

REVIEWING AGENCIES

Responsible Agencies	Special Reviewing Agencies	Regional Significance
None	None	None
⊠ Regional Water Quality Control Board	Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy	
Los Angeles Region	□ National Parks	
☐ Lahontan Region		✓ Water Nesources
		<u>'</u>
X CA Solid Waste Mgt Board		County Reviewing Agencies
Trustee Agencies	⊠ AQMD, SCAG	Subdivision Committee
None		DPW: <u>Geo & Materials, Land</u> <u>Development, Env Programs,</u> <u>Traffic & Lighting</u>
State Fish and GameState Parks	☐ Castaic Area Town Council	Health Services: <i>Environmental Protection, Soild</i>
State Dept of Water Resources □	 ∑ Newhall County Water District ∑ Castaic Lake Water Agency 	Waste Mgt Programs ☐ Parks & Recreation
		

Check if DMS overview worksheet completed (attached)
*EIRs and/or staff reports shall utilize the most current DMS information available.

3

7/99

E	n	٧	i	r	O	r	1	n	1	е	ľ	1	ta		F		r	١d	i	n	g	:	
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	--	---	--	---	----	---	---	---	---	--

FINAL DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning finds that this project qualifies for the following environmental document:
NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was determined that this project will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environmental/service factor and, as a result, will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the changes required for the project will reduce impacts to insignificant levels (see attached discussion and/or conditions).
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was originally determined that the proposed project may exceed established threshold criteria. The applicant has agreed to modification of the project so that it can now be determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the physical environment. The modification to mitigate this impact(s) is identified on the Project Changes/Conditions Form included as part of this Initial Study.
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT*, inasmuch as there is substantial evidence that the project may have a significant impact due to factors listed above as "significant."
On the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, there are substantial changes in project as well as with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken. A Supplement to EIR (SCH No. 1988071329) is to be prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15163.
Reviewed by: Hsiao-ching Chen Date:
Approved by: Daryl Koutnik Tour Poutnik Date: 15 MARCH 2004
This proposed project is exempt from Fish and Game CEQA filling fees. There is no substantial evidence that the proposed project will have potential for an adverse effect on wildlife or the habitat upon which the wildlife depends. (Fish & Game Code 753.5).
Determination appealedsee attached sheet. *NOTE: Findings for Environmental Impact Reports will be prepared as a separate document following the public hearing on the project.

4

7/99

HAZARDS - 1. Geotechnical

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
a. Is the project site located in an active or potentially active fault zone, Seismic Hazards Zone, or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault			•			
Lotte? b. Is the project site located in an area containing a major landslide(s)?						
c. Is the project site located in an area having high slope instability?						
d. Is the project site subject to high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction?						
 e. Is the proposed project considered a sensitive use (scribb), hospital, public assembly site) located in close proximity to a significant geotechnical hazard? 						
f. Will the project entail substantial grading and/or alteration of topography including slopes of more than 25%?						
g. Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?						
DISCUSSION The 1992 EIR does not provide soil and geologic investigation with respect to specific project design (pg 4.1-1). The EIR also indicates that	espect to spec	ific project des	ign (pg 4.1-1).	. The EIR also	indicates that	
specific mitigation measures associated with tract design will be addressed in subsequent analysis (pg address tract specific geo/soil issues.	ressed in subs	equent analys		Grading plans	4.1-3). Grading plans to be prepared to	to
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additio	⊒No Additional Analysis	

HAZARDS - 2. Flood

■ Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	CONCLUSION	DISCUSSION Previous EIR includes only discussion on preliminary calculations of the installation of undetermined catch basins. Project specific drainage evaluation on all above improvements need to be analyzed.	f. Other factors (e.g., dam failure)?	e. Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area?	d. Could the project contribute or be subject to high erosion and debris deposition from run off?	c. Is the project site located in or subject to high mudflow conditions?	 b. Is the project site located within or does it contain a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone? 	a. Is a major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a dashed line, located on the project site?	SETTING/IMPACTS
☐ Addendu		the installation							Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions
Addendum EIR/ND		of undetermin to be analyzed							Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions
									New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)
□No Additio		ins, storm drair							New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)
ີ]No Additional Analysis		debris basins, storm drains, streets, and					•		Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR
									No Impact

HAZARDS - 3. Fire

the	fire access issues as a result of phasing the	s issues as a I	ency fire access	ded on emerg	eeds to be provi	be performed with the submittal of tract map. Additional analysis needs to be provided on emergency is SP development. CONCLUSION
opment ills should	भट्ट. Per Devel th State water b	Vorthlake SP a	onsible for the N	site and resp	14 minutes from	DISCUSSION The fire station located at 31770 Ridge Route, Castaic is 2.7 miles/14 minutes from site and responsible for the Northlake SP area. Per Development Agreement, a fire station site is to be provided within the SP area and needs to be identified. Water Assessment complying with State water bills should
						g. Other factors? (Elimination of golf course)
						f. Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard?
						 e. Is the project site located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses (such as refineries, flammables, explosives manufacturing)?
						d. Is the project site located in an area having inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards?
						c. Does the project site have more than 75 dwelling units on a single access in a high fire hazard area?
						 b. Is the project site in a high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, widths, surface materials,
						a. Is the project site located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (Fire Zone 4)?
No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but ' Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	SETTING/IMPACTS

HAZARDS - 4. Noise

Showing to Reduce, but Changes or Ne Greater Not Eliminate Information Significant Significant Requiring Effects than Effects in Preparation or Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s) an EIR
SETTING/IMPACTS SIDNStantial Substantial Substantial
vew of No Impact

RESOURCES - 1. Water Quality

	င္ပ	\$ \$	P P	Ф.	٩	Ċ		<u>5</u>	\dot{v}	SE
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	CONCLUSION	Water quality issue to be discussed with project specific water quality data.	DISCUSSION Previous EIR (pgs 4.4-1 to 4.4-10) does not address construction related water quality issues. On-site facility to treatment by County Sanitation District No. 32 through annexation. Therefore	Other factors?	Could the project's post-development activities potentially degrade the quality of storm water runoff and/or could post-development non-storm water discharges contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies?	Could the project's associated construction activities significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water	If the answer is yes, is the project site located in an area having known septic tank limitations due to high groundwater or other geotechnical limitations or is the project proposing on-site systems located in close proximity to a drainage course?	Will the proposed project require the use of a private sewage disposal system?	Is the project site located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells?	SETTING/IMPACTS
☐ Addendu		/ data.	ated water que		•					Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions
Addendum EIR/ND		1 1	lity issues.							Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions
		scerning capac	Project has ch							New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)
□No Additio		ny monnadon	anged wastew							New Information New Information Showing Ability Showing to Reduce, but Greater Not Eliminate Significant Effects than Effects in Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s)
☐No Additional Analysis		птогогого, госогипу сараску ппотпакоп посаз го во араага.	Project has changed wastewater reclamation from an						•	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR
		odated.	n from an					-		No Impact

RESOURCES - 2. Air Quality

111	SETTING/IMPACTS a. Will the proposed project exceed the State's criteria for regional significance (generally (a) 500 dwelling units for residential uses or (b) 40 gross acres, 650,000 square feet of floor area or 1,000 employees for nonresidential uses)? b. Is the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use? C. Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure.	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but (Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
,5	s the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use?						
c.	Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure, or exceed AQMD thresholds of potential significance?						
ġ.	Will the project generate or is the site in close proximity to sources which create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions?						
Φ.	Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?						
	Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?						
ά	Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			•			
5	Other factors?						
Pro	DISCUSSION Project specific air quality analysis be performed utilizing URBEMIS 2002 model and grading and other information from the proposed tract map.	2002 model an	d grading and	other informat	ion from the pr	oposed tract m	ар.
3	CONCLUSION						
	Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	Addendum FIR/ND			No Addition	No Additional Analysis	

Page 10

RESOURCES - 3. Biota

RESOURCES - 4. Archaeological / Historical / Paleontological

	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of	:
SETTING/IMPACTS	EIR Revisions	EIR Revisions	Previous EIR(s)	Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s)	an EIR	No Impact
a. Is the project site in or near an area containing known archaeological resources or containing features (drainage course, spring, knoll, rock outcroppings, or oak trees) which		I				
 Does the project site contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources? 						
2. Does the project site contain known historic structures or sites?						
d. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in 15064.5?						
 Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? 						
f. Other factors?						
DISCUSSION No record search was performed in the previous envrionmental analysis for the Northlake SP.	ysis for the Noi		Provide a Phase	3 I Archaeology	a Phase I Archaeology survey to substantiate	stantiate
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additio	No Additional Analysis	

RESOURCES - 5.Mineral Resources

	Substantial Change in Project	Substantial Change in Circumstances	New Information Showing Greater Significant	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring	
a. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?						•
b. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?						
c Other factors?						
DISCUSSION						
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		No Addition	No Additional Analysis	

RESOURCES - 6. Agriculture Resources

Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	CONCILISION	DISCUSSION	Other factors?	Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	SI SETTING/IMPACTS
Addendum EIR/ND							Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major R EIR Revisions
EIR/ND							Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions
							New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)
No Additio							New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)
No Additional Analysis							Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR
				•		•	No Impact

RESOURCES - 7. Visual Qualities

Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	CONCLUSION	Visual analysis contained in the previous EIR is insufficient for tract project (pgs 4.7-1 to 4.7-8). A new visual analysis needs to be performed based on project details as proposed. Elimination of golf course also removes visual amenity from a large open area as approved in the SP.	f. Other factors (e.g., grading or land form alteration)	e. Is the project likely to create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems?	d. Is the proposed use out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features?	c. Is the project site located in an undeveloped or undisturbed area, which contains unique aesthetic features?	otherwise impact the viewshed? b. Is the project substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?	 a. Is the project site substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it 	SETTING/IMPACTS
☐ Addendu		project (pgs 4.) removes visu							Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions
Addendum EIR/ND		7-1 to 4.7-8). <i>i</i> al amenity fro							Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions
		A new visual a m a large opei						-	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)
□No Additio		nalysis needs n area as appr							New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)
∃No Additional Analysis		to be performed oved in the SP.							Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR
		d							No Impact

SERVICES - 1. Traffic/Access

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	Greater Not Eliminate Significant Significant Effects than Effects in Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s)	Information Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
 a. Does the project contain 25 dwelling units, or more and is it located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections)? 		-				
b. Will the project result in any hazardous traffic conditions?						
c. Will the project result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions?						
d. Will inadequate access during an emergency (other than fire hazards) result in problems for emergency vehicles or						
e. Will the congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicles added by						
project traffic to a CMP highway system intersection or 150 peak hour trips added by project traffic to a mainline freeway link be exceeded?						
f. Would the project conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?						
g. Other factors?			П			
Traffic analysis contained in the previous EIR (Section 4.8) is to be updated utilizing the proposed roadway system.	odated utilizing	the proposea	roadway syst	em.		
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additional Analysis	nal Analysis	

SERVICES - 2. Sewage Disposal

CONCLUSION ■ Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	DISCUSSION Utilize project specific information to discuss impacts on sewage disposal systems of Sanitation District No. 32.	Other factors?	If served by a community sewage system, could the project create capacity problems at the treatment plant? Could the project create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site?	Sub: Cha Pr Pr Requir Requir
Addendum EIR/ND	systems of Sanitation t			Substantial Substantial Change in Change in Project Circumstances Requiring Major Requiring Major EIR Revisions
	District No. 32.			New Information New Information Showing Ability Showing to Reduce, but Greater Not Eliminate Significant Significant Effects than Effects in Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s)
□No Additional Analysis				
al Analysis				Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR
				No Impact

SERVICES - 3. Education

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
3. Could the project create capacity problems at the district level?						
 Could the project create capacity problems at individual schools which will serve the project site? 		•				
Could the project create student transportation problems?						
d. Could the project create substantial library impacts due to increased population and demand?						
e. Other factors?						
DISCUSSION Two elementary school sites were to be provided as part of the conditions of approval of the SP. Provide update information on school district	ed to include n	/al of the SP.	Provide updat	e information c	on school distric	t
agreement. Cumulative impacts on educational facilities to be revisited to include projects occur in the CONCLUSION	ed to include p	rojects occur i	n the area sut	area subsequent to SP adoption.	adoption.	
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additional Analysis	nal Analysis	

SERVICES - 4. Fire/Sheriff Services

)		tion	_	Less Than Significant Impact/No	
SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Showing to Reduce, but Greater Not Eliminate Significant Significant Effects than Effects in Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s)		Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
Could the project create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriffs substation serving the project site?		•				
Are there any special fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area?						
c. Other factors?		40				
DISCUSSION Please refer to discussion under "Fire Hazard."						
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additional Analysis	าal Analysis	

SERVICES - 5. Utilities/Other Services

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
 Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate 					•	
o. Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs?						
c. Could the project create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane?						
d. Are there any other known service problem areas (e.g., solid waste)?						
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services or facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, roads)?						
Other factors?						
DISCUSSION Water supply assessment to be performed in compliance with state laws	aws.					
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Addition	No Additional Analysis	

OTHER FACTORS - 1. General

Less Than New Information Significant New Information Showing Ability Impact/No Substantial Substantial Showing to Reduce, but Changes or New Change in Change in Greater Not Eliminate Information Project Circumstances Significant Significant Requiring Requiring Major Requiring Major Effects than Effects in Preparation of EIR Revisions EIR Revisions Previous EIR(s) Previous EIR(s) an EIR	a Will the project result in an inefficient use of energy resources? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	b Will the project result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or	c Will the project result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land?	d Other factors?
Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR				
v No impact	•			1

OTHER FACTORS - 2. Environmental Safety

SE	SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but to Reduce but to Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less I nan Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
Ġ	Are any hazardous materials used, transported, produced,]]]		
	handled, or stored on-site?	Г	Γ	С	С		
5	Are any pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site?						
c.	Are any residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and potentially adversely affected?						
а.	Have there been previous uses which indicate residual soil toxicity of the site?						
G	Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous						
. 	Would the project emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter						
Ġ	mile of an existing or proposed school? Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list	!					
	Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment?						
⋾	Would the project result in a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip?						
	Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?					•	
<u>.</u> .	Other factors? High voltage powerlines						
D	DISCUSSION						
ဂ္ဂ	CONCLUSION						

☐ Addendum EIR/ND

☐No Additional Analysis

Subsequent/Supplemental EIR

OTHER FACTORS - 3. Land Use

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
 a. Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the plan designation(s) of the subject property? 						
 b. Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the zoning designation of the subject property? c. Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the following applicable land use criteria: 	•					
Hillside Management Criteria?						
SEA Conformance Criteria?						
Other? Specific Consistency Analysis						
d. Would the project physically divide an established community?						
e. Other factors?						
DISCUSSION TR 51852 is proposing to change the Land Use categories within the previously approved SP. Consist provided with repect to the tract map design.	e previously app	proved SP. Co	onsistency anc	d compatibility :	tency and compatibility analysis is to be	Ф
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additio	No Additional Analysis	

OTHER FACTORS - 4. Population/Housing/Employment/Recreation

SETTING/IMPACTS	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	No Impact
a. Could the project cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?						
 b. Could the project induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)? 						
 c. Could the project displace existing housing, especially affordable housing? 						
d. Could the project result in a substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)?						
•	-					
necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						•
g. Other factors?						
DISCUSSION TR 51852 is proposing to eliminate an approximately 167-acre golf course previously approved within	ourse previous	ly approved w	ithin the previ	the previously approved SP area.	I SP area.	
CONCLUSION						
Subsequent/Supplemental EIR	☐ Addendu	Addendum EIR/ND		□No Additio	lNo Additional Analysis	

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

firm	ional analysis has been performed to confirm	sis has been po	dditional analy	us approval. A	e since previou	DISCUSSION Updated water quality, air quality, and traffic information are available since previous approval. Additianalysis or conclusions in the certified EIR/SEIR.	
				•			ç.
				•		Does the project have possible environmental effects which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.	Ď
						Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	$\dot{\sigma}$
No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact/No Changes or New Information Requiring Preparation of an EIR	New Information Showing Ability to Reduce, but Not Eliminate Significant Effects in Previous EIR(s)	New Information Showing Greater Significant Effects than Previous EIR(s)	Substantial Change in Circumstances Requiring Major EIR Revisions	Substantial Change in Project Requiring Major EIR Revisions	SETTING/IMPACTS	SE